INTRODUCTION

With increasing life expectancy in many societies, cognitive decline accompanying aging or neurodegenerative disease has become a growing problem. Changes in brain structure can precede the appearance of clinical symptoms of cognitive decline or dementia by several years.1,2 Accordingly, there is considerable interest in identifying modifiable risk factors that exert negative effects on brain structure and cognitive performance.3

Recent epidemiological findings from middle-aged and older adults point to an important role of sleep in moderating cognitive aging. Poorer cognitive function is associated with short sleep,4-10 long sleep,4,7,8,10-12 and changes (both increases13,14 and decreases15) in sleep duration. Self-reported poor sleep quality has also been linked to decline in cognitive performance.7,15-24 Importantly, sleep duration and quality at midlife can influence cognitive functioning 20 y later.25

Although neuropsychological tests are instrumental in identifying cognitive decline, they are associated with considerable measurement variability. Contrastingly, volumetric assessment of brain structure has been shown to predict cognitive outcome with considerably lower measurement variability.26 No brain region is spared from age-related atrophy, even among persons with minimal cognitive decline.27 However, there are significant regional differences in the severity of atrophy that are also evident when comparing persons at very low, average, and high risk of dementia.27

Among brain regions showing age-related atrophy, the hippocampus is sensitive to a wide variety of insults.3 Hippocampal volume at baseline and an elevated rate of hippocampal volume reduction have both been validated as risk markers for cognitive decline as well as subsequent Alzheimer disease.2 The lateral ventricles are also affected by multiple medical conditions and have been validated as a biomarker for cognitive decline in older adults.1 Finally, age-related changes in many important higher cognitive functions have been linked to the volume of the lateral prefrontal cortices.33

Only a few imaging studies have examined the effect of reduced sleep on alterations in brain structure in healthy individuals with no known sleep disorder. In one study, right temporal lobe volume was reduced in flight attendants exposed to sleep disruption from frequent transmeridian travel.34 Reduced hippocampal gray matter volume has been reported in healthy children and adolescents who slept less on weekdays.35 These studies suggest that short sleep duration might affect brain structure in younger persons and motivate our study on how short sleep modulates longitudinal changes in brain structure and cognition in older adults.

The mechanisms of how sleep loss may result in altered brain structure and cognition remain unknown. Investigated here is the relationship between sleep loss and increased inflammation.36,37 Elevated inflammatory cytokine levels increase risk of cognitive decline38-40 and have been associated with increased white matter hyperintensities as well as reduced gray matter volume.41,42
The current study involved relatively healthy, community-dwelling older adult participants of an ongoing longitudinal brain and cognitive aging study.\textsuperscript{43} We hypothesized that self-reported short sleep duration and poor global sleep quality at baseline would be associated with greater longitudinal changes in hippocampal, ventricular, and prefrontal volumes as well as a more pronounced decline in neuropsychological test performance over a 2-y interval. We also explored whether any significant contribution of sleep to cognitive aging was mediated through its effect on brain atrophy. Additionally, we measured high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) to explore associations between inflammation, sleep, brain structure, and cognition.

METHODS

Participants

Participants were healthy, community-dwelling, ethnically Chinese older adults of the Singapore-Longitudinal Aging Brain Study recruited from healthy aging clubs and by word of mouth.\textsuperscript{43} They were aged 55 y and older in 2005 when the study started. Exclusion criteria for all phases include (1) history of significant vascular events (i.e., myocardial infarction, stroke, or peripheral vascular disease); (2) history of malignant neoplasia of any form; (3) a history of cardiac, lung, liver, or kidney failure; (4) active or inadequately treated thyroid disease; (5) active neurological or psychiatric conditions; (6) a history of head trauma with loss of consciousness; (7) a Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE\textsuperscript{44}) score < 26; or (8) a 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS\textsuperscript{45}) score > 5.

Midway through phase 3 (November 2009–January 2011), the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI\textsuperscript{46}) was introduced for sleep assessment and was filled in by a subset of 119 participants. In phase 4 (October 2011–April 2013), this subset dropped to 256 matrix, isotropic voxel dimensions of 1.0 mm, 6 min 3 sec acquisition time. Automated measurements of brain volumes were performed using FreeSurfer 5.1.0 (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/). Here, we report findings regarding total cerebral, gray, and white matter, hippocampal, and ventricular volumes, as well as the volumes of inferior frontal gyrus and the superior frontal gyrus.\textsuperscript{43} Total intracranial volume was estimated (eTIV\textsuperscript{43,47}) and used as a covariate in statistical analyses involving brain structures to compensate for differences in head size across participants.

Cognitive Assessments

Cognitive performance was assessed with a battery of 11 neuropsychological tests that evaluated performance in five cognitive domains—speed of processing, executive functions, attention, verbal memory, and visuospatial memory. Speed of processing was evaluated with the Symbol Digit Modalities Test,\textsuperscript{48} the Symbol Search Task in the Wechsler Memory Scale–Third Edition (WMS-III),\textsuperscript{49} and the Trail Making Test A.\textsuperscript{50} Executive functions were assessed with the Categorical Verbal Fluency Test,\textsuperscript{51} the Design Fluency Test in the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System,\textsuperscript{52} and the Trail Making Test B.\textsuperscript{50} Attention was measured with the Digit Span Test and the Spatial Span Test in WMS-III.\textsuperscript{49} Verbal memory was assessed with the Verbal Paired Associates Test in WMS-III\textsuperscript{49} (dropped in phase 4) and the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test.\textsuperscript{51} Visuospatial memory was evaluated with the Visual Reproduction Test in WMS-III\textsuperscript{49} (dropped in phase 4) and a Visual Paired Associates Test (refer to supplemental materials for the details of each test).

We used T scores to indicate participants’ performance in each cognitive domain. T transformation is commonly used in studies involving multiple cognitive measures.\textsuperscript{33} This is to enable tasks that use different scales to have a mean of 50 and SD of 10 after transformation. It was thus possible to combine tasks within the same domain so as to give a more robust measure of performance as well as to minimize the problem of multiple comparisons. Like z transformation, T transformation is a standard data transform in neuropsychological studies.\textsuperscript{53} Here, for each cognitive domain, participants’ scores in the respective tests within each phase were transformed to T scores (mean = 50 and SD = 10 at phase 3) and then averaged for computation of composite scores (see reference 43 for details). Additionally, we averaged the T scores of the five cognitive domains in each phase to derive a measure of global cognitive performance.

Sleep Assessments

The PSQI\textsuperscript{46} was used to assess sleep duration and quality. Sleep duration was indicated by participants’ response to item 4 in the questionnaire: “During the past month, how many hours of actual sleep did you get at night?” The wording of this question was similar to the sleep duration item used in previous epidemiological studies on sleep and cognitive aging.\textsuperscript{4,13,14} Global sleep quality was indicated by the global PSQI score.

High-Sensitivity C-reactive Protein

Venous blood samples were drawn between 08:30 and 09:30 and tested for hs-CRP. Measurements were made using quality controlled procedures (Synchron LX Systems, CA, US), with a sensitivity of 0.02 mg/L. One participant’s data were excluded...
from all the analyses concerning hs-CRP because his hs-CRP level was more than 3 SDs from the group mean.

### Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 20.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA). To quantify the annual change in brain volume and cognitive performance from baseline to the 2-y follow-up (i.e., between phase 3 and phase 4), for each participant, we first calculated the annual percentage change (APC) of each brain and cognitive measure using the following formula:

$$APC = \frac{(X_{P4} - X_{P3})}{X_{P3} \times \text{time}} \times 100$$

where $X_{P3}$ and $X_{P4}$ are the brain or cognitive measures at phase 3 and phase 4 respectively, and time is the interval in years between the two phases. We used multiple regression analyses to determine whether sleep duration at baseline, i.e., in phase 3, significantly predicted the APC of brain volume or cognitive performance over the following 2 y after controlling for the effects of age, sex, education, and body mass index (BMI). In these analyses, we included in model 1 sleep duration in phase 3 as a predictor (and eTIV as a covariate for brain measures). We added age and BMI in phase 3, as well as sex and years of education into model 2.

To examine whether sleep quality at baseline contributed to the age-related changes in brain structures and cognitive performance, similar analyses were performed.

As a result of the significant effects of baseline sleep duration on the APC of total ventricular volume and global cognitive performance (see Results for more details), we determined whether sleep’s effect on global cognitive aging was mediated by its effect on ventricular expansion. We did so by adding the APC of total ventricular volume into model 3 for global cognitive performance.

To investigate whether hs-CRP accounted for any significant contribution of sleep to longitudinal changes in brain structure and cognitive performance, we used Pearson correlational analyses to ascertain for association between hs-CRP, sleep, and APC. Because none of these associations were statistically significant (refer to the Results section for further details), we did not include hs-CRP into the regression models that would dramatically reduce the sample size from 66 to 46.

Similarly, correlation between sleep and age at baseline was computed to investigate whether age-related alterations in sleep might confound the interpretation of potential effects of sleep on brain and cognitive aging. One participant was excluded from all the analyses concerning total ventricular volume because his corresponding APC was 3 SDs below the group mean.

### RESULTS

#### Effects of Sleep at Baseline on Longitudinal Changes in Brain Structures

The longitudinal changes in total cerebral volume, gray matter volume, white matter volume, hippocampal volume, total ventricular volume, inferior frontal gyrus volume, and superior frontal gyrus volume in our cohort (Table 1) were comparable to or slightly smaller than those reported in other samples of healthy older adults.26,28,54

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1—Sample characteristics.</th>
<th>Baseline (phase 3)</th>
<th>2-year follow-up (phase 4)</th>
<th>Annual percentage change (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD) or n (%)</td>
<td>Mean (SD) or n (%)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>67.4 (5.8)</td>
<td>69.5 (5.7)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, n (%)</td>
<td>35 (53.0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, y</td>
<td>12.5 (3.1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>23.2 (2.6)</td>
<td>23.3 (2.8)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>135.8 (16.1)</td>
<td>134.4 (13.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mm Hg</td>
<td>73.3 (9.0)</td>
<td>75.2 (9.0)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>21 (31.8)</td>
<td>26 (39.4)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (13.6)</td>
<td>11 (16.7)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-CRP, mg/L$^4$</td>
<td>1.1 (1.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE score</td>
<td>28.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>28.4 (1.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDS score</td>
<td>1.1 (1.1)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (h)</td>
<td>6.7 (1.1)</td>
<td>6.8 (1.1)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSQI global quality</td>
<td>3.7 (2.2)</td>
<td>3.9 (2.3)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain volume (cm$^3$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cerebral volume</td>
<td>887.0 (83.3)</td>
<td>879.1 (85.3)</td>
<td>-0.49 (0.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White matter</td>
<td>416.8 (49.8)</td>
<td>411.3 (50.3)</td>
<td>-0.63 (0.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray matter</td>
<td>409.9 (35.5)</td>
<td>407.7 (36.6)</td>
<td>-0.39 (0.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>7.4 (1.0)</td>
<td>7.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>-0.83 (0.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricles</td>
<td>26.0 (12.0)</td>
<td>27.8 (12.9)</td>
<td>3.36 (1.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>18.2 (2.2)</td>
<td>18.1 (2.3)</td>
<td>-0.43 (1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>38.7 (3.8)</td>
<td>38.6 (4.0)</td>
<td>-0.28 (0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive performance (T)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global performance</td>
<td>50.0 (5.4)</td>
<td>50.7 (4.5)</td>
<td>0.62 (2.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed of processing</td>
<td>50.0 (9.0)</td>
<td>49.0 (7.8)</td>
<td>-1.21 (4.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive functions</td>
<td>50.0 (5.8)</td>
<td>51.0 (6.6)</td>
<td>0.97 (3.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>50.0 (6.2)</td>
<td>50.6 (5.8)</td>
<td>0.85 (3.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal memory</td>
<td>50.0 (8.8)</td>
<td>53.8 (6.2)</td>
<td>5.37 (13.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visuospatial memory</td>
<td>50.0 (8.4)</td>
<td>48.4 (7.8)</td>
<td>-0.69 (9.99)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = 66 except where noted otherwise. *n = 46. Values for sex, hypertension, and diabetes are n (%); for other variables, values are means (SD); for the annual percentage change columns, values are in %. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) were obtained in phase 3 only. BP, blood pressure; GDS, Geriatric Depression Scale; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; T, T score.

Participants varied in their rate of ventricular expansion, with some showing no noticeable change, and others showing a maximum APC of 8.35% (Figure 1A). Approximately 10.2% of the variance of ventricular APC was accounted for by sleep duration at baseline ($P = 0.039$). After controlling for the effect of eTIV, each 1-h decrease in sleep duration at baseline elevated the annual expansion of the ventricles by 0.55% (unstandardized coefficient: $B = -0.552, P = 0.013$; Table 2). After further controlling for the effects of age, sex, education, and BMI, the effect of baseline sleep duration remained statistically significant. Each 1-h decrease in sleep duration at baseline predicted a 0.59% increase in the annual ventricular expansion rate ($B = -0.587, P = 0.007$; Table 2). In addition,
even after excluding the two participants whose total ventricular volume was more than 3 SDs from the group mean in both phases (Figure 1A), we still found a significant contribution of baseline sleep duration to the rate of ventricular expansion ($B = -0.616, P = 0.005$). This effect of sleep duration was not observed for any of the other brain measures ($P > 0.148$; Table 2).

In contrast to sleep duration, global sleep quality at baseline (range = 0–11) did not modulate the longitudinal change in any of the brain morphometric measures ($P > 0.104$; Table 3).

Effects of Sleep at Baseline on Longitudinal Changes in Cognitive Performance

Relative to the brain measures, there was greater interindividual variability in the longitudinal change of global cognitive performance. Some participants showed cognitive decline over time, while in others, performance improved as a result of practice effects (Figure 1B). Approximately 7.2% of the variance of the rate of global cognitive changes was determined by sleep duration ($P = 0.031$). Every 1-h decrease in sleep duration at baseline incremented the annual decline in global cognitive performance by 0.72% ($B = 0.718, P = 0.031$; Table 2). After controlling for age, sex, education, and BMI, this effect remained statistically significant and every 1-h decrease in sleep duration at baseline predicted a 0.67% increase in annual decrement in global cognitive performance ($B = 0.670, P < 0.050$; Table 2). Sleep duration at baseline did not predict performance decrement in any of the individual cognitive domains ($P > 0.077$; Table 2).

Contrary to the effects of sleep duration, global sleep quality at baseline did not exert a significant effect on cognitive change over a 2-y period ($P > 0.269$; Table 3).
In light of the effects of short sleep in elevating the rates of ventricular expansion and global cognitive decline, we added the APC of total ventricular volume into the regression model for the APC of global cognitive performance to determine whether the effect of baseline sleep duration on cognitive changes was mediated by the effect of sleep on brain aging. The APC of total ventricular volume was not a significant predictor of the APC of global cognitive performance (\(B = -0.120, P = 0.594\)), and hence, not a significant mediator. Thus, the contribution of sleep duration to cognitive aging appeared unrelated to its effect on ventricular expansion.

Absence of Association Among hs-CRP, Sleep Duration, Brain Structure, and Cognition
hs-CRP was not correlated with sleep duration at baseline (\(r = -0.061, P = 0.685\)), or the APC of brain structures and cognitive performance over 2 y (\(P > 0.088\); Table S1, supplemental material). Also, there was no significant association between baseline sleep duration and age (\(r = 0.037, P = 0.767\)). Hence, the contribution of sleep duration at baseline to the longitudinal changes in total ventricular volume and global performance could not be attributed to increased hs-CRP or older age among the short sleepers.

DISCUSSION
In our longitudinal study of healthy older adults, self-reported short sleep duration at baseline was associated with faster ventricular expansion and faster decline in general cognitive performance in the subsequent 2 y. These effects remained significant after controlling for the effects of age, sex, education, and BMI, and were not related to hs-CRP, a marker of inflammation. Global sleep quality at baseline did not influence any of the variables of interest.

Effects of Sleep Duration on Age-Related Brain Atrophy
Among the brain structures studied, the ventricles were most sensitive to the effects of sleep duration. Ventricles can expand as a result of a variety of brain insults,29 schizophrenia,31 bipolar disorder,32 and neurodegenerative disorders30 in addition to aging. Notwithstanding, an increased rate of expansion in older adults is a reliable marker for risk of cognitive impairment.1 Intriguingly, although the medial temporal structures, particularly the hippocampus, might be expected to be more sensitive to cognitive decline with age, the relatively unrefined measure of ventricular volume is in fact an equally, if not a more sensitive measure.1,26 The neurobiological basis for this is unclear but compared to smaller structures or those with less well-defined boundaries, ventricles are more reliably measured,30,55 even in lower resolution scans.1

Accelerated ventricular expansion may be evident years prior to the clinical symptoms of mild cognitive impairment (MCI).1 The contribution of short sleep duration to the development of neurodegenerative disorders has received preliminary empirical support.56 In addition, recent studies have shown that fragmented sleep may increase the risk of Alzheimer disease,57 and that sleep deprivation reduces the clearance of \(\beta\)-amyloid in brain interstitial fluid.58

The absence of an effect of baseline sleep duration on hippocampal atrophy was slightly surprising, given the sensitivity of this structure to a diverse set of risk factors ranging from post-traumatic stress disorder to obesity.3 However, to date, there has only been a single report on the association between short sleep duration and smaller hippocampal volume in children and adolescents.59

Our data suggest that sleep duration at baseline does not modulate age-related atrophy in the lateral prefrontal cortex (the inferior and the superior frontal gyri). Although frontal changes occur in healthy aging,28,54 it is important to note that unlike hippocampal and ventricular volume changes that occur years before diagnosis of MCI and Alzheimer disease,1,12 accelerated frontal atrophy is a later feature in MCI.59

The frequent co-occurrence of ventricular enlargement and cerebral atrophy has led to the notion that ventricular enlargement may predict neuronal loss.60 However, ventricular expansion without reduced volume in brain parenchyma has been observed recently and attributed to fluid redistribution.61 Thus, although unusual, the current findings regarding short sleep duration and ventricular enlargement without accompanying gray and white matter atrophy are not without precedent. Future studies would do well to elucidate the underlying mechanisms of this combination of findings.

Effects of Sleep Duration on Age-Related Cognitive Decline
Corroborating a recent study on a much larger group of older adults assessed only with a single general cognitive test,62 and

| Table 3—Associations of longitudinal changes in brain structures and cognitive performance with global sleep quality |
|----------------|----------------|
|                  | Model 1         | Model 2         |
|                  | \(R^2\)          | \(B\) (SE) of global sleep quality | \(R^2\)          | \(B\) (SE) of global sleep quality |
| Brain volume     |                 |                 |
| Total cerebral volume | 0.034 0.023 (0.024) | 0.127 0.028 (0.024) |
| White matter     | 0.022 -0.010 (0.028) | 0.097 -0.012 (0.028) |
| Gray matter      | 0.074 0.049 (0.046) | 0.203 * 0.061 (0.044) |
| Hippocampus      | 0.046 0.047 (0.050) | 0.095 0.049 (0.051) |
| Ventricles       | 0.029 0.134 (0.107) | 0.172 0.127 (0.103) |
| Inferior frontal gyrus | 0.048 0.071 (0.062) | 0.209 * 0.088 (0.058) |
| Superior frontal gyrus | 0.106 * 0.069 (0.050) | 0.238 * 0.079 (0.048) |

\(p < 0.05\); Model 1 included global sleep quality in phase 3 (and for brain measures, correction for estimated total intracranial volume in phase 3); model 2 additionally included age and body mass index in phase 3, sex, as well as education; \(B\), unstandardized regression coefficients; SE, standard error of the unstandardized regression coefficients.
with a far more comprehensive battery of neuropsychological tests, we found that short sleep duration at baseline was associated with faster decline in overall cognitive performance. Every 1-h reduction in sleep duration at baseline was associated with an increase in global cognitive decline by 0.67%. However, the relatively smaller standardized regression coefficient of sleep duration on global cognitive changes (0.250 versus 0.337 for ventricular expansion), together with the absence of an association between sleep and decline of any specific cognitive domain, suggest that the effect on cognition was small over the relatively short observation period of the current study.

Indeed, the effect of sleep duration on cognition may require a decade or two to be observable.25,62 A temporal lag between when clinical symptoms of cognitive impairment are detected relative to the detection and evolution of structural brain changes is now well documented in MCI and Alzheimer disease1,2 and could account for why the ventricular volume changes observed here did not predict concurrent changes in global cognitive scores. Further research would be needed to determine if our findings regarding ventricular volume and short sleep duration portend to greater risk of later cognitive impairment.

Unlike many earlier studies,7,15-24 we did not find an association between sleep quality and cognitive performance despite our relatively healthy participants reporting a broad range of PSQI global scores (range 0–11). We speculate that the inclusion of participants with physical, mental, and sleep-related conditions in many previous studies might have concurrently affected sleep quality and cognitive functions. When only healthy older adults were studied, significant association between poor sleep quality and poor performance was found in only one of the many tasks within an extensive neuropsychological test battery.22

Absence of Association Between Sleep Duration and hs-CRP in Healthy Subjects

Experimental sleep deprivation has been shown to elevate inflammatory markers including hs-CRP, interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α).36,37 Epidemiological surveys have linked elevated hs-CRP and other inflammatory cytokines with negative effects on cognitive performance.38-40 Elevated cytokine levels in older adults have been associated with increased white matter hyperintensities and reduced gray matter volume.41,42 However, effects on brain structure may be restricted to persons with high hs-CRP values (e.g., > 4.24 mg/L for reduced gray matter volume41). In the current sample, only three participants’ hs-CRP exceeded this value. In relatively healthy older adults such as those in the current study, hs-CRP does not appear to be a contributory mechanism through which shorter sleep exerts negative effects on ventricular enlargement and cognitive decline.

Limitations and Future Studies

Our data were collected from relatively healthy older adults who had been followed up for a minimum of 4 y, excluding those with MCI or dementia symptoms. Our sample included only a few participants with long sleep duration (maximum = 9.25 h) and excluded persons who had significant co-morbid medical conditions. Such persons are typically underrepresented in convenience samples used in cognitive aging studies.63 We were thus unable to comment on the effects of long sleep on brain atrophy and cognitive decline.

Age-related reductions in sleep duration and quality are associated with changes in the macrostructure and microstructure of sleep,64,65 both of which potentially influence cognitive performance.66 Reduction in slow wave sleep, slow wave activity, and spindle activity are the most pronounced age-related changes,64,65 all of which can negatively affect memory consolidation.67 Although one recent cross-sectional study linked age-related reduction in medial prefrontal gray matter volume with attenuated slow wave activity and poorer memory retention,68 it remains of interest to examine how longitudinal changes in the macrostructure and microstructure of sleep affect brain and cognitive aging.

CONCLUSION

Even in relatively healthy older adults and over a short interval of 2 y, self-reported short sleep at baseline predicts faster ventricular enlargement. The effect of short sleep duration at baseline on accelerating decline in cognitive performance was more variable and modest and should be regarded as preliminary. It remains to be investigated if sleep duration plays a more important role in predicting brain and cognitive aging in less healthy older adults.
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Cognitive performance was assessed with a battery of 11 neuropsychological tests that evaluated performance in five cognitive domains—speed of processing, executive functions, attention, verbal memory, and visuospatial memory.

Speed of Processing

**Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT)**

Participants were presented with a symbol-digit key in which each of the nine symbols was matched uniquely to a digit between 1 and 9. Participants were given a list of symbols below the key and were required to indicate the corresponding number. They had 90 sec to match as many symbols as they could. Each participant completed a written and an oral version of SDMT. The SDMT score was determined by averaging the number of correct responses across the two versions.

**Symbol Search Task in the Wechsler Memory Scale–Third Edition (WMS-III)**

In each of the 60 questions, participants were required to indicate whether any of the five symbols shown in the middle of the page matched with either of the two symbols on the left side. Participants responded by putting a tick in either the 'yes' or the 'no' box on the right side of the page. They were instructed to complete as many questions as they could within 2 min. Performance was indicated by the total number of correct responses subtracted by the total number of incorrect responses.

**Trail Making Test A**

Participants were presented with 25 numbers (from 1 to 25) scattered on a piece of paper and were instructed to draw lines to connect the numbers in an ascending order as quickly and accurately as they could. A shorter time taken to complete the task suggests faster speed of processing. The time taken to complete the task was transformed to speed for the computation of composite score (see below, for details).

**Executive Functions**

**Categorical Verbal Fluency Test**

Participants were given 60 sec to list as many exemplars of each of the three categories (animals, vegetables, and fruits) as possible. Performance was indicated by the average number of correct exemplars named in the three categories.

**Design Fluency Test in the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System**

This task consisted of three parts. In the first part, participants were shown sets of five filled circles, and they were required to use four straight lines to connect the circles in each set to create different designs. For the next two parts of the task, participants were shown sets of five filled and five open circles, and they used four straight lines to connect either only the five open circles in the set (in the second part) or alternate between filled and open circles (in the third part). Each part consisted of 35 sets, and participants were given 60 sec to create as many unique designs as possible. Performance in the Design Fluency Test was indicated by the total number of unique designs created in all the three parts.

**Trail Making Test B**

Participants were presented with 13 numbers (1–13) and 12 letters (A–L) scattered on a piece of paper. They were required to draw lines to connect the numbers and the letters in an ascending order while switching between the two stimulus categories (i.e., 1, A, 2, B, 3, and so on). Participants were instructed to complete the task as quickly and accurately as they could. The time taken to complete the task was transformed to speed for the computation of composite score (see subsequent text for details).

**Attention**

**Digit Span Test in WMS-III**

Both the forward span and the backward span of the participants were tested. In the forward and the backward span tests, a series of single digits (0–9) were read aloud to the participants and they needed to orally repeat the digits in either the same or the reverse order. Each test started with a two-digit block, and task difficulty increased by adding one more digit in each subsequent block. Each block consisted of two trials. Participants were allowed to proceed to the next block if they were correct in at least one of the trials. The maximum number of digits presented was 12. Performance in each test was indicated by the total number of trials in which participants provided the correct sequence.

**Spatial Span Test in WMS-III**

This task consisted of a forward and a backward span test. Each test had 11 blocks with two trials each. Nine blue squares
were shown on a computer screen with a black background. In the first block, two of the squares turned red one at a time, and the participants were required to replicate the sequence by tapping the corresponding squares in either the same or the reverse order. If the participants were correct in at least one of the trials, they were presented with the three-square block and so on. The maximum number of squares in a trial was 12. Performance in each test was indicated by the total number of trials in which participants provided the correct sequence.

Verbal Memory

**Verbal Paired Associates Test**

Participants were required to learn the associations of eight unrelated word pairs (e.g., Pebble-Train). The word pairs were first read out to the participants with a 1-sec break between each word of the same pair and a 2-sec break between word pairs. Participants’ verbal memory was assessed with an immediate cued recall test in which the experimenter read aloud the first word of each pair and the participants were required to say aloud the second word. Stimulus presentations and immediate cued recall tests were repeated for another three times. A delayed cued recall test was administered 25-30 min later. In each cued recall test, performance was indicated by the total number of correct responses.

**Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test**

A list of 15 unrelated words were read aloud to the participants at a speed of one word per sec. Participants’ memory was assessed in an immediate recall test. The same list was repeated four more times, each followed by an immediate recall test. Afterwards, a distractor list of 15 words was read aloud to the participants, followed by an immediate recall test of these distractor words. Then, memory of the original list was tested for the sixth time. After a 25- to 30-min break during which participants performed other tasks in the neuropsychological battery, a delayed recall test of the original list was presented. In all the tests, there was no time limit for recall, and the order of words recalled did not matter. In each recall test, performance was indicated by the total number of correct responses.

**Visuospatial Memory**

**Visual Reproduction Test in WMS-III**

Participants were shown designs one at a time from a booklet for 10 sec each, and were required to reproduce the designs on paper from memory in an immediate recall test. As the task progressed, the designs contained more features and hence, the task became more difficult. Participants’ memory of all the designs were tested in a delayed recall test 25–30 min later during which they performed other tasks in the neuropsychological battery. There was no time limit for the participant to reproduce the designs. Answers were scored using the scoring manual provided in WMS-III test battery. Performance was indicated by the number of correct responses averaged across the immediate and the delayed recall tests.

**Visual Paired Associates Test**

Participants needed to learn the associations of eight pattern-location pairs. Two patterns were placed on each side of a computer screen against a black background. At the beginning of the task, each pattern was covered by a gray rectangle. The eight rectangles disappeared one after another in a random sequence, thereby revealing the pattern underneath for 1 sec each. Immediately after all the patterns had been shown, one of the patterns appeared in the middle of the screen, and participants were required to indicate where this pattern was previously located by clicking the rectangle that was covering it. If the response was correct, a tick appeared over the correct rectangle. If the response was incorrect, a cross appeared in the appropriate rectangle to indicate where the pattern actually was. After the memory of each of the eight pairs had been tested, the same pattern-location pairs were presented again and memory was immediately tested for another three cycles. Memory of the pattern-location associations was also tested in a delayed recall test after a 25- to 30-min period during which the participants performed other tasks in the neuropsychological battery. Performance was indicated by the average number of correct responses across all the four immediate and the delayed recall tests.

To limit the number of comparisons, for each cognitive domain, the participant’s scores in the respective tests were first transformed to T scores (mean = 50 and SD = 10 at phase 3) and then averaged for the computation of the composite score (see reference 6 for details).
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